

No. 24-1522 and all consolidated cases: Nos. 24-1624, 24-1626, 24-1627, 24-1628,
24-1631, and 24-1634

IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE EIGHTH CIRCUIT

STATE OF IOWA, *et al.*,
PETITIONERS,

v.

SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION,
RESPONDENT,
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA, *et al.*,
INTERVENORS.

ON PETITION FOR REVIEW OF AN ORDER AND RULE OF THE
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION

INTERVENOR STATES' MOTION TO HOLD CASES IN ABEYANCE

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL
Attorney General of Massachusetts
GLENN KAPLAN
Assistant Attorney General & Chief
ANDREW LABADINI
DANIELLE KLEE
ANNIE KILLELEA
Assistant Attorneys General
Insurance and Financial Services Division
JULIA JONAS-DAY
MICHELE HUNTON
Assistant Attorneys General
Energy and Environment Bureau
Office of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place, Boston, MA 02108
(617) 963-2453
glenn.kaplan@mass.gov
julia.jonas-day@mass.gov

BRIAN L. SCHWALB
Attorney General for the District of
Columbia
CAROLINE S. VAN ZILE
Solicitor General
ASHWIN P. PHATAK
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
BRYAN J. LEITCH
Assistant Attorney General
Office of the Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
400 6th Street, NW, Suite 8100
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 805-7426
ashwin.phatak@dc.gov

Additional counsel listed in signature block

Intervenor States¹ respectfully move the Court to hold these consolidated petitions for review in abeyance. In the Securities and Exchange Commission’s (“SEC”) recent status report, the agency appears to have changed its position on the challenged regulations. *See* SEC Letter, Doc. 5500618, No. 24-1522 (Mar. 27, 2025) (“SEC March Letter”). Yet SEC’s letter does not indicate whether it plans to amend or rescind these regulations through the requisite notice-and-comment rulemaking process. *See* 5 U.S.C. §§ 551(5), 553(b)-(c). Given this uncertainty, granting an abeyance will maintain the status quo and preserve judicial resources while SEC evaluates its course of action so that this Court does not devote the time and energy to hearing oral argument and writing a potentially unnecessary opinion on the legality of securities regulations that SEC may soon amend or rescind.

Intervenor States contacted counsel for petitioners and SEC to obtain consent. Petitioners in Nos. 24-1522, 24-1624, 24-1626, 24-1627, 24-1628, 24-1631, 24-1634, and 24-2173 oppose this motion. Petitioners in No. 24-1685 did not respond. SEC’s counsel stated that “[t]he position of the Commission in the pending proceedings is stated in the March 27, 2025 letter to the Clerk of the Court.”

¹ Massachusetts, the District of Columbia, Arizona, Colorado, Connecticut, Delaware, Hawaii, Illinois, Maryland, Michigan, Minnesota, Nevada, New Mexico, New York, Oregon, Rhode Island, Vermont, Washington, and Wisconsin.

BACKGROUND

This matter involves nine consolidated petitions raising a variety of statutory and constitutional challenges to “The Enhancement and Standardization of Climate-Related Disclosures for Investors,” Release Nos. 33-11275 & 34-99678 (Mar. 6, 2024), 89 Fed. Reg. 21,668 (Mar. 28, 2024) (“The Rules”).² The Rules were approved by SEC Chairman Gensler and Commissioners Crenshaw and Lizárraga following a multi-year public notice-and-comment process that involved the consideration and analysis of thousands of comment letters. Commissioners Pierce and Uyeda dissented. Joint Appendix 695-714.

SEC unilaterally stayed the Rules for the pendency of this litigation under Section 25(c)(2) of the Exchange Act, 15 U.S.C. § 78y(c)(2), and Section 705 of the Administrative Procedure Act (“APA”), 5 U.S.C. § 705. *See* “The Enhancement and Standardization of Climate-Related Disclosures for Investors; Delay of Effective Date,” Release Nos. 33-11280; 34-99908 (April 4, 2024), 89 Fed. Reg. 25,804 (April

² State Petitioners (Nos. 24-1522, 24-1627, 24-1631, 24-1634); Liberty Energy and Nomad Proppant Services (No. 24-1624); Texas Alliance of Energy Producers and Domestic Energy Producers Alliance (No. 24-1626); Chamber of Commerce and National Center for Public Policy Research (Nos. 24-1628, 24-2173); National Legal & Policy Center and Oil & Gas Workers Association (No. 24-1685). This Court’s April 2024 order granting the Intervenor States’ motion to intervene listed each of the consolidated cases except Nos. 24-1685 and 24-2173. *See* Order, Doc. 5387919 (8th Cir. Apr. 29, 2024). The Intervenor States have subsequently asked this Court to amend its April 2024 intervention order to clarify that the States are Intervenor-Respondents in all of the consolidated cases. *See* Motion, Doc. 5502796, Nos. 24-1685 & 24-2173 (April 2, 2025).

12, 2024). In doing so, SEC made clear that it was “not departing from its view that the Final Rules are consistent with applicable law and within the Commission’s long-standing authority to require the disclosure of information important to investors in making investment and voting decisions.” *Id.* at 25,805. It was instead exercising its discretion to stay the Rules for the benefit of all parties. *Id.* SEC subsequently filed a 115-page brief defending the Rules and requesting 30 minutes of oral argument time. SEC Brief at i, Doc. 5421154, No. 24-1522 (Aug. 6, 2024).

In January 2025, Chairman Gensler and Commissioner Lizárraga resigned, leaving SEC with only three members: Commissioner Crenshaw, Commissioner Pierce, and now-Acting Chairman Uyeda. A few weeks later, SEC filed a letter asking this Court not to schedule oral argument until SEC could reevaluate its litigation position given the “recent change in the composition of the Commission” and “the fact that the majority of the current Commissioners ‘voted against’ the rule at issue.” SEC Letter, at 1, Doc. 5484463 (Feb. 11, 2025) (“SEC February Letter”) (quoting SEC, Statement of Mark T. Uyeda, *Acting Chairman Statement on Climate-Related Disclosure Rules* (Feb. 11, 2025), attached to the letter).

On March 27, SEC filed a status report. Noting again that “a majority of the current Commissioners voted against the rules,” SEC informed the Court that “it wishes to withdraw its defense of the Rules” and that “Commission counsel is no longer authorized to advance the arguments presented in the Commission’s response

brief.” SEC March Letter at 1-2. But rather than ask the Court to hold the cases in abeyance while SEC evaluates its course of action with respect to the Rules, SEC’s letter simply noted that it “yields any oral argument time back to the Court or to other parties as the Court determines.” *Id.* at 2. At the same time, however, SEC suggested that this Court should avoid deciding “the petitioners’ challenges based on the First Amendment or non-delegation doctrine.” *Id.* at 1.

ARGUMENT

SEC’s apparent change in position on the Rules provides good cause to hold this matter in abeyance. This Court has “broad discretion to stay proceedings as an incident to its power to control its own docket.” *Clinton v. Jones*, 520 U.S. 681, 706 (1997). And here, an abeyance would comport with the customary approach to preserving judicial resources given the government’s apparent change in position: “When an agency seeks to reconsider its action, it should move the court to remand or to hold the case in abeyance pending reconsideration by the agency.” *Ethyl Corp. v. Browner*, 989 F.2d 522, 524 n.3 (D.C. Cir. 1993) (quoting *Anchor Line Ltd. v. Fed. Mar. Comm’n*, 299 F.2d 124, 125 (D.C. Cir. 1962)). Indeed, federal agencies routinely request, and routinely receive, abeyances to accommodate changes in policy due to a change in administration, even after cases have been fully briefed

and argued. *See, e.g., West Virginia v. EPA*, No. 24-1120, Doc. 2101484 (D.C. Cir. Feb. 19, 2025) (granting EPA’s post-argument abeyance motion).³

Granting this relief will preserve judicial resources by allowing this Court to avoid unnecessarily holding argument and issuing a decision on the statutory and constitutional issues raised in these petitions now that SEC may no longer support the challenged regulations. *See* SEC March Letter at 1-2. In particular, it is not a worthwhile use of this Court’s and the parties’ time and resources to adjudicate these cases when the agency that promulgated the regulations has indicated that it is likely to amend or rescind them if upheld. *See id.*; *see also* SEC, Statement of Mark T. Uyeda, *Acting Chairman Statement on Climate-Related Disclosure Rules* (Feb. 11, 2025) (attached to SEC February Letter).

Indeed, if SEC aims to rescind or amend the Rules it previously defended as “consistent with applicable law and within the Commission’s long-standing authority,” 89 Fed. Reg. at 25,805, it may do so via notice-and-comment rulemaking, as the APA requires, *see* 5 U.S.C. §§ 551(5), 553(b)-(c). But it may not achieve that end by cryptically “withdraw[ing] its defense” in this litigation now that “a majority

³ *See, e.g., Biden v. Sierra Club*, 141 S. Ct. 1289 (2021) (“Motion to hold further briefing in abeyance and to remove the case from the February 2021 argument calendar granted.”); *Mayorkas v. Innovation L. Lab*, 141 S. Ct. 1289 (2021) (same); *see also Kentucky v. EPA*, No. 24-1050, Doc. 2102525 (D.C. Cir. Feb. 25, 2025) (granting EPA’s post-argument abeyance motion); *Save Jobs USA v. DHS*, No. 16-5287, Doc. 1660720 (D.C. Cir. Feb. 10, 2017) (ordering case held in abeyance).

of the current Commissioners voted against the rules,” SEC March Letter at 1.⁴ *Cf. SKF USA Inc. v. United States*, 254 F.3d 1022, 1027–28 (Fed. Cir. 2001) (describing five pathways for an agency when facing challenge to its regulations). At minimum, given SEC’s apparent change in position on the Rules, an abeyance is warranted at least until such time as SEC clarifies its intent with respect to the Rules at issue here.

An abeyance would not prejudice any party. Because SEC has stayed its Rules during this litigation, petitioners are not required to take, or refrain from taking, any action while this case remains pending. *See* 89 Fed. Reg. at 25,804 (ordering the Rules’ “effective date” to be “delayed indefinitely”). Holding this matter in abeyance will accordingly maintain the status quo without prejudicing any of the petitioners in these consolidated cases. *See CTIA-The Wireless Ass’n v. FCC*, 530 F.3d 984, 988-89 (D.C. Cir. 2008) (“Because petitioners are not required to do anything to comply with the backup power rule while this case is held in abeyance, the delay they cite does not overcome the judiciary’s ‘theoretical role as the governmental branch of last resort.’” (quoting *Nat’l Treasury Employees Union v. United States*, 101 F.3d 1423, 1431 (D.C. Cir. 1996))).

⁴ While purporting to withdraw from the litigation, the SEC seems to suggest that this Court should reach the merits and even attempts to guide this Court’s analysis. *See* SEC March Letter at 1.

Good cause exists, therefore, for the Court to hold this matter in abeyance until SEC determines what action it intends to take regarding the Rules. In addition, the Court should direct SEC to file status reports every 90 days to apprise the Court and the parties of the status of its decisionmaking process, as courts have done in similar circumstances. *See, e.g., Competitive Enter. Inst. v. NHTSA*, No. 20-1145, Doc. 1892931 (D.C. Cir. Apr. 1, 2021) (granting government’s motion to hold cases in abeyance and directing it to file status reports every 90 days).

CONCLUSION

This Court should grant the motion to hold this matter in abeyance.

ANDREA JOY CAMPBELL
Attorney General for Massachusetts

/s/ Glenn Kaplan
GLENN KAPLAN
Assistant Attorney General & Chief
ANDREW LABADINI
DANIELLE KLEE
ANNIE KILLELEA
Assistant Attorneys General
Insurance and Financial Services
Division

JULIA JONAS-DAY
MICHELE HUNTON
Assistant Attorneys General
Energy and Environment Bureau
Office of the Attorney General
One Ashburton Place
Boston, MA 02108
(617) 963-2453
glenn.kaplan@mass.gov
julia.jonas-day@mass.gov

April 2025

Respectfully submitted,

BRIAN L. SCHWALB
Attorney General for the District of
Columbia

CAROLINE S. VAN ZILE
Solicitor General

/s/ Ashwin P. Phatak
ASHWIN P. PHATAK
Principal Deputy Solicitor General

BRYAN J. LEITCH
Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
400 6th Street, NW, Suite 8100
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 805-7426
ashwin.phatak@dc.gov

FOR THE STATE OF ARIZONA

KRISTIN K. MAYES
Attorney General for Arizona

/s/ Kristin K. Mayes
Office of the Attorney General
2005 N. Central Avenue
Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592
(602) 542-7922
AGinfo@azag.gov

FOR THE STATE OF
CONNECTICUT

WILLIAM TONG
Attorney General for Connecticut

/s/ Kaelah M. Smith
KAELAH M. SMITH
Assistant Attorney General

Connecticut Attorney General's
Office
165 Capitol Avenue
Hartford, CT 06106
(860) 808-5250

FOR THE STATE OF COLORADO

PHILIP J. WEISER
Attorney General for Colorado

SHANNON WELLS STEVENSON
Solicitor General

ERNEST LEE REICHERT III
Deputy Attorney General
Revenue and Regulatory Section

SHALYN KETTERING
Counsel to the Attorney General

/s/ Shalyn Kettering
Shalyn Kettering
Counsel to the Attorney General

Colorado Department of Law
Office of the Attorney General
1300 Broadway
Denver, CO 80203
(720) 508-6000

FOR THE STATE OF DELAWARE

KATHLEEN JENNINGS
Attorney General for Delaware

CHRISTIAN DOUGLAS WRIGHT
Director of Impact Litigation

JILLIAN A. LAZAR
Director of Investor Protection

RALPH K. DURSTEIN III
Deputy Attorney General

/s/ Vanessa L. Kassab

kaelah.smith@ct.gov

VANESSA L. KASSAB
Deputy Attorney General

Delaware Department of Justice
820 N. French Street
Wilmington, DE 19801
(302) 683-8899
vanessa.kassab@delaware.gov

FOR THE STATE OF HAWAII

FOR THE STATE OF ILLINOIS

ANNE E. LOPEZ
Attorney General of the State for
Hawai‘i

KWAME RAOUL
Attorney General for Illinois

KALIKO‘ONĀLANI D.
FERNANDES
Solicitor General

MATTHEW J. DUNN
Chief, Environmental Enforcement/
Asbestos Litigation Division

/s/ Ewan C. Rayner
EWAN C. RAYNER
Deputy Solicitor General

/s/ Jason E. James
JASON E. JAMES
Assistant Attorney General

Department of the Attorney General
425 Queen Street
Honolulu, HI 96813
(808) 586-1360
ewan.rayner@hawaii.gov

Office of the Attorney General
201 W. Pointe Drive, Suite 7
Belleville, IL 62226
(217) 843-0322
jason.james@ilag.gov

FOR THE STATE OF MARYLAND

FOR THE STATE OF MICHIGAN

ANTHONY G. BROWN
Attorney General for Maryland

DANA NESSEL
Attorney General for Michigan

/s/ Steven J. Goldstein
STEVEN J. GOLDSTEIN
Special Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Michael E. Moody
MICHAEL E. MOODY
Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General of
Maryland

Special Litigation Division
525 W. Ottawa Street

200 Saint Paul Place
Baltimore, MD 21202
(410) 576-6414
sgoldstein@oag.state.md.us

P.O. Box 30755
Lansing, MI 48909
(517) 335-7627
moodym2@michigan.gov

FOR THE STATE OF MINNESOTA

FOR THE STATE OF NEVADA

KEITH ELLISON
Attorney General for Minnesota

AARON D. FORD
Attorney General for Nevada

/s/ Peter N. Surdo
PETER N. SURDO
Special Assistant Attorney General

/s/ Heidi Parry Stern
HEIDI PARRY STERN
Solicitor General

Minnesota Attorney General's Office
445 Minnesota Street, Suite 1400
St. Paul, MN 55101
(651) 757-1061
Peter.surdo@ag.state.mn.us

Office of the Nevada Attorney General
1 State of Nevada Way, Ste. 100
Las Vegas, NV 89119
HStern@ag.nv.gov

FOR THE STATE OF NEW
MEXICO

FOR THE STATE OF NEW YORK

RAÚL TORREZ
Attorney General for New Mexico

LETITIA JAMES
Attorney General for New York

WILLIAM GRANTHAM
Assistant Attorney General
Director, Environment Protection
Division

BARBARA D. UNDERWOOD
Solicitor General

/s/ Aletheia V.P. Allen
ALETHIA V.P. ALLEN
Solicitor General

ELIZABETH A. BRODY
Assistant Solicitor General

New Mexico Department of Justice
408 Galisteo Street
Santa Fe, New Mexico 87501
(505) 527-2776
Aallen.@nmdoj.gov

STEPHANIE L. TORRE
Assistant Attorney General
Executive Division

/s/ Jonathan Bashi
JONATHAN BASHI
Assistant Attorney General

Investor Protection Bureau

28 Liberty Street
New York, NY 10005
(212) 416-8564
Jonathan.Bashi@ag.ny.gov

FOR THE STATE OF OREGON

DAN RAYFIELD
Attorney General for Oregon

/s/ Paul Garrahan
PAUL GARRAHAN
Attorney-in-Charge
Natural Resources Section

Oregon Department of Justice
1162 Court Street NE
Salem, Oregon 97301-4096
(503) 947-4540
Paul.Garrahan@doj.state.or.us

FOR THE STATE OF VERMONT

CHARITY R. CLARK
Attorney General for Vermont

/s/ Hannah Yindra
HANNAH YINDRA
Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General
109 State Street
Montpelier, VT 05609
(802) 828-3186
Hannah.Yindra@vermont.gov

FOR THE STATE OF RHODE ISLAND

PETER F. NERONHA
Attorney General for Rhode Island

SARAH W. RICE
Deputy Chief, Public Protection Bureau

/s/ Alison Carney
ALISON CARNEY
Assistant Attorney General

Office of the Attorney General
150 South Main Street
Providence, RI 02903
(401) 274-4400

FOR THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

NICHOLAS W. BROWN
Attorney General for Washington

SARAH REYNEVELD
Section Chief
Environmental Protection Division

/s/ Yuriy Korol
YURIY KOROL
Assistant Attorney General
Environmental Protection Division

Washington State Attorney General's
Office
800 5th Ave Suite 2000, TB-14
Seattle, WA 98104-3188

FOR THE STATE OF WISCONSIN

JOSHUA L. KAUL
Attorney General for Wisconsin

/s/ Bradley J. Motl
BRADLEY J. MOTL
Assistant Attorney General

Wisconsin Department of Justice
Post Office Box 7857
Madison, WI 53707-7857
(608) 267-0505
motlbj@doj.state.wi.us

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I certify that on April 4, 2025, this motion was served on all parties via this Court's CM/ECF system.

/s/ Ashwin P. Phatak
ASHWIN P. PHATAK
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
Office of the Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
400 6th Street, NW, Suite 8100
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 805-7426
ashwin.phatak@dc.gov

CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE

I certify that this motion complies with the type-volume limitation in Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(2)(A) because the brief contains 1,595 words, excluding exempted parts. This brief complies with the typeface and type style requirements of Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure 27(d)(1)(E), 32(a)(5), and 32(a)(6) because it has been prepared in a proportionally spaced typeface using Microsoft Word 365 in Times New Roman 14-point font.

I also certify that this motion has been scanned for viruses and is virus free.

/s/ Ashwin P. Phatak
ASHWIN P. PHATAK
Principal Deputy Solicitor General
Office of the Solicitor General
Office of the Attorney General
400 6th Street, NW, Suite 8100
Washington, D.C. 20001
(202) 805-7426
ashwin.phatak@dc.gov